

I attended the open floor hearing and listened to many parties who had compelling reasons why Tillbridge Solar should not be given consent.

There is no urgent need for inefficient energy that will not provide energy security, as stated by NESO in their Nov 2024 report.

There is a need for this examiner to see the plight of a community whose complete sense of life and well-being will be taken away from them for greed.

There is a need for common sense and acceptance that food security should overarch such a poor-yielding infrastructure project that will not provide the energy security of the UK.

We are humans, yet apparently if we were deer, someone might actually listen and remove this toxic waste from our agricultural land.

I have listened to issue-specific hearings where paid consultants have talked about sheep grazing on this land—utter rubbish. speak to a shepherd. If this developer cannot guarantee sheep on this land, then you as the Exa must dismiss it.

It's common to sense that industrialising a community for 60 years will affect our health and well-being. Make this developer get an HIA that actually looks at this individual local community by PHE. A good health assessment should involve the thoughts of the local community and look at the unique specific needs of that community.

An additional health hearing is required.